

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,115	07/02/2003		Daniel Lee Holt	12929.1105US01	3914
23552	7590 1	0/28/2005		EXAM	INER
	T & GOULD	PC	BARROW, JAMES G		
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	03 LIS, MN 5540	02-0903	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3749	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/612,115	HOLT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James G. Barrow	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	<u>ugust 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-12,15,17-23,26,27 and 29-31</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 6-12, 15, 17-23, 26, 27, and 2</u>	wn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/612,115 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20-23, 26, 27, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Binzer (5,299,558). Binzer discloses a fireplace having a combustion chamber 6, a combustion source 22, a vent system for venting exhaust gasses (see figure 4) a flue connection member consisting of a plate portion 58 and 62 having a hollow cylindrical extending member above (unnamed part in figure 1) for attachment to a flue 4 and below 66 through seating member 64 for attachment to plate-like fireplace connection member 34. Plate portion 58 and 62 broadly define a U-shaped opening or notch for the tab 68 of the fireplace connection to extend into. The fireplace connection member 34 has a movable latch 69 that extends over the flue connection member 58 and 62 to couple the flue connection member 58 and 62 to the fireplace connection member 34. Regarding method claims 26, 27, and 29-31, the apparatus anticipates the method of using it.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20-23, 26, 27, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cannata (4,683,623). Cannata discloses a fireplace insert 11 that inherently has a combustion chamber, a combustion source, a vent system, a flue connection member 46 having a plate portion (along line 79 in figure 2) with extending member above 65 and below 40 configured to be coupled with a flue 12, a fireplace connection member 35 having a plate portion 140. The flue connection

Application/Control Number: 10/612,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

portion 40 extends into seating member 120. Regarding method claims 26, 27, and 29-31, the apparatus anticipates the method of using it.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 5. over Binzer (5,299,558) as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20-23, 26, 27, and 29-31 above, and further in view of Binzer (5,299,558). Binzer does not disclose the flue connection means for a slant back type fireplace. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the flue connection means of Binzer on a slant back fireplace because Applicant disclosed that "the arrangement, shape, and configuration of the flue ducts or appliance can vary without affecting the application of the present invention. For example, the flue ducts could extend vertically or horizontally. Additionally, the flue ducts could extend vertically and then extend horizontally. Also, the flue ducts can be desired in any number of shapes. They could be cylindrical, rectangular, or any other desired shape, size, or diameter of duct. The appliance itself can also be shaped in any manner and still benefit from the present invention" (P: 5, L: 23-30) which the Examiner interprets the design of the invention is not limited to slant back fireplaces. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to

Art Unit: 3749

perform equally well on a fireplace having a horizontal venting system because

Applicant has stated that the invention could be used on a fireplace having a horizontal venting system. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Binzer to obtain the invention as specified in claims 10 and 19.

6. Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannata (4,683,623) as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20-23, 26, 27, and 29-31 above, and further in view of Cannata (4,683,623). Cannata does not disclose the use of the flue connection member on a slant back fireplace. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the flue connection means of Cannata on a slant back fireplace because Applicant disclosed that "the arrangement, shape, and configuration of the flue ducts or appliance can vary without affecting the application of the present invention. For example, the flue ducts could extend vertically or horizontally. Additionally, the flue ducts could extend vertically and then extend horizontally. Also, the flue ducts can be desired in any number of shapes. They could be cylindrical, rectangular, or any other desired shape, size, or diameter of duct. The appliance itself can also be shaped in any manner and still benefit from the present invention" (P: 5, L: 23-30) which the Examiner interprets the design of the invention is not limited to slant back fireplaces. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well on a fireplace having a horizontal venting system because Applicant has stated that the invention could be used on a fireplace having a horizontal venting system. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/612,115 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

James Barron

design choice to modify Cannata to obtain the invention as specified in claims 10 and 19.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-12, 15, 17-23, 26, 27, and 29-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Barrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30 A.M.-6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on (571) 272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EHUD GARTENBERG

ud Gartenberg